

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

CIRBA INC. (d/b/a DENSIFY)
and CIRBA IP, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

VMWARE, INC.,

Defendant.

C.A. No. 19-742-LPS

VERDICT FORM

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,209,687

Question No. 1:

Has Densify proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that VMware has literally infringed any of the following claims of the '687 patent?

“Yes” is a finding for Densify. “No” is a finding for VMware.

Product	Claim	Answer
vSphere with DRS	Claim 3	Yes _____ No _____
	Claim 7	Yes _____ No _____
vROps with DRS	Claim 3	Yes _____ No _____
	Claim 7	Yes _____ No _____
VMC on AWS with DRS 2.0	Claim 3	Yes _____ No _____
	Claim 7	Yes _____ No _____

Question No. 2:

Has Densify proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that VMware has actively induced direct infringement of any of the following claims of the '687 patent?

“Yes” is a finding for Densify. “No” is a finding for VMware.

Product	Claim	Answer
vSphere with DRS	Claim 3	Yes _____ No _____
	Claim 7	Yes _____ No _____
vROps with DRS	Claim 3	Yes _____ No _____
	Claim 7	Yes _____ No _____
VMC on AWS with DRS 2.0	Claim 3	Yes _____ No _____
	Claim 7	Yes _____ No _____

Question No. 3:

If you have found that VMware directly infringed at least one claim of Densify's '687 patent, or if you have found that VMware has actively induced direct infringement of at least one claim of Densify's '687 patent, has Densify proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that VMware's infringement of the '687 patent was willful?

“Yes” is a finding for Densify. “No” is a finding for VMware.

_____	Yes (Willful)
_____	No (Not Willful)

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,654,367**Question No. 4:**

Has Densify proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that VMware has literally infringed any of the following claims of the '367 patent?

“Yes” is a finding for Densify. “No” is a finding for VMware.

Claim 1	Yes _____ No _____
Claim 9	Yes _____ No _____
Claim 13	Yes _____ No _____
Claim 17	Yes _____ No _____

Question No. 5:

Has Densify proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that VMware has actively induced direct infringement of any of the following claims of the '367 patent?

“Yes” is a finding for Densify. “No” is a finding for VMware.

Claim 1	Yes _____ No _____
Claim 9	Yes _____ No _____
Claim 13	Yes _____ No _____
Claim 17	Yes _____ No _____

Question No. 6:

If you have found that VMware infringed at least one claim of Densify's '367 patent, or if you have found that VMware has actively induced direct infringement of at least one claim of Densify's '367 patent, has Densify proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that VMware's infringement of the '367 patent was willful?

"Yes" is a finding for Densify. "No" is a finding for VMware.

_____	Yes (Willful)
_____	No (Not Willful)

VALIDITY OF DENSIFY'S U.S. PATENT NO. 8,209,687**Question No. 7:**

Has VMware proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of the '687 patent is invalid as anticipated by DRS 2006?

"Yes" is a finding for VMware. "No" is a finding for Densify.

Claim 3	Yes _____	No _____
Claim 7	Yes _____	No _____

Question No. 8:

Has VMware proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that any of the following claims of the '687 patent is invalid because the claimed subject matter would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention based on DRS 2006?

“Yes” is a finding for VMware. “No” is a finding for Densify.

Claim 3	Yes _____	No _____
Claim 7	Yes _____	No _____

TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT**Question No. 9:**

Do you find that VMware is liable for trademark infringement?

“Yes” is a finding for Densify. “No” is a finding for VMware.

Yes _____ No _____

DELAWARE DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT**Question No. 10:**

Do you find that VMware is liable for deceptive trade practice?

“Yes” is a finding for Densify. “No” is a finding for VMware.

Yes _____ No _____

DAMAGES

The '687 Patent

Question No. 11:

If you have found that VMware infringed at least one claim of the '687 patent, and if you have found that this same claim is not invalid, what is the dollar amount Densify has proven it is entitled to as a reasonable royalty for past infringement?

\$ _____

The '367 Patent

Question No. 12:

If you have found that VMware infringed at least one claim of the '367 patent, what is the dollar amount Densify has proven it is entitled to as a reasonable royalty for past infringement?

\$ _____

Trademark Infringement

Question No. 13:

If you have found that VMware is liable for trademark infringement, what is the dollar amount of damages that Densify has proven?

\$ _____

CONCLUSION

You have reached the end of the verdict form. Review the completed form to ensure that it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. All jurors should then sign the verdict form in the space below and notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict. The Foreperson should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it to the Courtroom in the envelope provided.

Date_____

Foreperson

Juror

Juror

Juror

Juror

Juror

Juror

Juror